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## 1. Introduction

### 1.1. Background

Mineral Resources (MRL) are currently investigating two potential intersection locations on Midlands Road, Mount Horner, to allow heavy haulage access to their proposed Lockier Project

Figure 1 shows the location of the two intersections. MRL have proposed a possible access realignment near an existing rural driveway at intersection 1 to improve the intersection approach and enable 90 degrees crossing of the railway and intersection 2 is an existing intersection.


Figure 1: Intersection Locations

### 1.2. Purpose

Shawmac has been engaged by MRL to prepare a Transport Impact Assessment (TIA) to assess the suitability of each of the proposed intersections.

This TIA will assess Intersection 2 in accordance with the Western Australian Planning Commission's (WAPC) Transport Impact Assessment Guidelines for Developments: Volume 4 - Individual Developments (2016) and specifically includes:

- Document the details of the proposed expansion works.
- Document the existing situation including road network, traffic volumes (MRL and background), crash history, RAV network etc.
- Confirm future traffic generation and trip distribution based on MRL proposed traffic.
- Assess the suitability of intersection 2 in terms of:
- Conformance to RAV network requirements
- Intersection configuration/warrants (i.e., whether there is a need for turn pockets)
- Sight distance
- Intersection approach alignments
- Rail crossings
- Vehicle swept paths.
- Acceleration lane warrants
- Site specific issues
- Provide recommendations as required.
- Prepare 2d sketches (general arrangement) of recommended upgrades/intersection configurations based on provided arial imagery.

Intersection 1 has been assessed under a separate TIA document (Shawmac Doc \#2309009-TIA-001).

## 2. Existing Situation

### 2.1. Road Network

The layout and hierarchy of the existing road network according to the Main Roads WA Road Information Mapping System is shown in Figure 2.


Figure 2: Adjacent Road Network

### 2.2. Carriageway Width and Cross Section

The carriageway and configuration of relevant roads are summarised in Table 1.

Table 1: Road Configuration

| Road and Location | Road Type |  | Cross Section |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Midlands Road | Primary Distributor | Two-lane single <br> carriageway <br> (Approx.) | 9.0 m | Sealed Pavement <br> Width (Approx.) |
| Strawberry <br> North-East Road | Access Road | Two-lane single <br> carriageway | $8-8.5 \mathrm{~m}$ |  |

### 2.3. Traffic Volumes

The proposed intersection is at SLK 236.43 of Midlands Road. According to MRWA Traffic map, the nearest traffic count data for Midlands Road is at the 2022/23 count site West of Mingenew Mullewa Road (SLK 251.00).

Data from the Network Performance Site (NPS) traffic count at SLK 223.06 on Midlands Road, shows an average $6 \%$ growth from 20/21 period to $23 / 24$ period. As the project mine design life is $15 y$ years, a 10 -year growth scenario (2033/34) has been allowed for in accordance with WAPC Transport Impact Assessment Guidelines for Developments. A 2\% annual compound growth has been adopted for conservatism to estimate the 2023/24 traffic volumes as well as the future 10-year traffic volumes (2033/34).

Traffic data for Strawberry North East Road was not available. Therefore, the traffic data (with annual growth rate of $1 \%$ ) is assumed based on the similar traffic network in the area. Also, it is assumed that $60 \%$ of turning vehicle on to the Strawberry North East Road will be to/from west of Midlands Road.

A summary of this information is provided in Table 2 and Table 3. Detailed traffic count data is attached in Appendix A - Traffic Counts.

Table 2: Daily Traffic Volumes

| Road | Location | Existing Daily Volume | 2033/34 Daily Volume | WB HV | Data Source |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Midlands Road | SLK <br> 251.00 | 305 | 363 | 372 | 443 | $33.5 \%$ | MRWA 22/23 |
| Strawberry NE <br> Road | NA | 33 | 32 | 38 | 37 | $28 \%$ | Assumed |

Table 3: Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

| Road | Location | Existing Peak Volume |  |  |  | 2033/34 Peak Volume |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | EB/NB |  | WB/SB |  | EB/NB |  | WB/SB |  |
|  |  | AM | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM | AM | PM |
| Midlands Road | SLK 251.00 | 41 | 24 | 31 | 43 | 50 | 30 | 37 | 52 |
| Strawberry NE Road | NA | 6 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 7 |

### 2.4. RAV Status

As per MRWA HVS network mapping tool:

- Midlands Road is categorised under Tandem Drive RAV 7.3 network and Tri Drive 1.3 network without any conditions.
- Strawberry North East Road is categorised under Tandem Drive RAV 7.1 network and Tri Drive 1.1 with the following conditions:
- All operators must carry written support from the road manager acknowledging the operator's use of the road.
- No operation on unsealed road segment when visibly wet, without road owner's approval.
- Maximum speed $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.

Figure 3 shows the Tandem Drive and Figure 4 shows the Tri Drive network for the road network in the local vicinity.


Figure 3: Tandem Drive 7 Network


Figure 4: Tri Drive 1 Network

### 2.5. Speed Limit

The speed limit of the adjacent road network is shown below in Figure 5.
As per MRWA HVS network mapping tool, RAV vehicles approaching Midlands Road from Strawberry North East Road are restricted to $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$.


Figure 5: Speed Zoning

### 2.6. Crash History

Crash data for Midlands Road in the vicinity of Intersection 2 was sourced from MRWA Crash Analysis Reporting System (CARS) for the 5 -year period ending 31/12/2022. The report is summarised in Table 4.

Table 4: Crash History

| Location | Number of Crashes | MR Nature and Location | Severity |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Midlands Road <br> SLK 234.69 to SLK 241.75 | 0 | NA | NA |

As shown no crashes were reported.

### 2.7.Changes to Surrounding Transport Networks

There are no known changes to the adjacent road network that have potential to affect this assessment.

## 3. Transport Logistics

### 3.1.Proposed Development and Traffic Generation

Table 5 and Table 6 show the traffic generation during construction and operations phase, as provided by MRL, respectively.

Table 5:Construction Phase Traffic Generation

| Item | Daily HVs | Daily LVs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bulk Earthworks | 5 nos. <br> In and out | 12 nos. <br> In and out |
| Pipeline construction | 15 nos. <br> In and out | 10 nos. <br> In and out |

Table 6:Operations Phase Traffic Generation

| Item | Daily HVs | Daily LVs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Condensate Loadout and <br> Deliveries | 3 nos. In and out | NA |
| Chemical Top Up | 0.04 nos. <br> (1no In and out per month) | NA |
| Personnel access to CCR/Admin <br> Building | NA | 10 nos. <br> In and out |

### 3.2. Operating Hours

Haulage operations will occur 12 hrs a day. There is no defined peak period for the haulage activity and the movements are expected to be evenly distributed throughout the operating hours.

### 3.3. Proposed Haulage Vehicle

It is proposed to use maximum RAV 7.3/TD 1.3 trucks up to 36.5 m long for haulage. Refer Figure 6 for typical configurations of proposed design vehicles.


Figure 6: Typical Tandem Drive RAV 7 Trucks

### 3.4. Haulage Route and truck movements

As per the traffic data provided by MRL, $80 \%$ of traffic comes to/from West and $20 \%$ comes from east. Also, MRL has advised that majority of LV and bus traffic would occur during shift change where most vehicles would be entering during 5-7am and exiting during $5-7 \mathrm{pm}$.

For the purposes of assessing the peak period impacts, the following assumptions have been made:

- $100 \%$ of haulage vehicles are to/from the west.
- Truck deliveries occur over a 12 -hour period, and $10 \%$ of all daily truck volumes are received within a peak hour.
- Chemicals top up traffic is not included in the assessment as it is only 1 per month.

Figure 7 and Figure 8 shows the daily and peak hour traffic volumes during construction and operations phase as provided by MRL, respectively.


Figure 7:Traffic Distribution AADT/AM Peak Hour (2023) Volumes-Construction Phase


Figure 8:Traffic Distribution AADT/AM Peak Hour (2033) Volumes-Operations Phase

## 4. Traffic Impact Assessment

### 4.1. Assessment Years

The development is assessed based on current network condition (2023) and 10-year scenario (2033) in accordance with WAPC Transport Impact Assessment Guidelines for Developments.

### 4.2. Impact on Roads

### 4.2.1. Road Minimum Widths

The sealed and carriageway widths of Midlands Road was checked against the rural road minimum widths in accordance with Appendix A of the MRWA RAV assessment guideline. The comparison is shown below in Table 7.

Table 7: Rural Road Minimum Width

| Road | Background Proposed AADT 2023 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Background / } \\ & \text { Proposed } \\ & \text { AADT } \\ & 2033 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Speed } \\ & \text { (RAV) } \\ & (\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{hr}) \end{aligned}$ | RAV Status | Existing / Required Min Seal Width ( $m$ ) | Existing / Required Min Carriageway Width (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Midlands Road | 668/752 | 814/840 | 100 | RAV 7.3 | 7.016 .5 | 9.018 .3 |
| Strawberry NE Road | 65/149 | 75/ 101 | 80* | RAV 7.1 | NA | 8-8.5/8.0 |

* As per the MRWA HVS network mapping tool, RAV vehicles approaching Midlands Road from Strawberry NE Road are restricted to 80km/hr.

As shown above, the existing road seal widths comply with the minimum requirements.
As per MRWA RAV Guidelines, a road should be sealed if the AADT is over 150. Therefore, Strawberry NE Road can remain unsealed as AADT is less than 150 .

### 4.2.2. Road Safety

The crash history of the adjacent road network (as previously outlined in Section 2.6) does not suggest any particular safety issues in the existing road network.

### 4.3. Safe Intersection Sight Distance

The Safe Intersection Sight Distance (SISD) is the minimum distance which should be provided on the major road at any intersection. SISD provides sufficient distance for a driver of a vehicle on the major road to observe a vehicle on a minor road approach moving into a collision situation (e.g., in the worst case, stalling across the traffic lanes) and to decelerate to a stop before reaching the collision point.

The SISD is assessed based on the following parameters:

- An observation time of 3 seconds as per Austroads Part 3;
- A reaction time of 2.5 seconds;
- Deceleration coefficients for the purpose of SISD calculations are 0.36 for light vehicles and 0.28 for heavy vehicles (Road Train Type 1/ RAV 7 equivalent);
- Driver eye height is 2.4 m for trucks and 1.1 m for cars;
- Object height of 1.25 m ; and
- Sight distance offset $3-5 \mathrm{~m}$ from edge of proposed holding line.

The results are summarised in Table 8.

Table 8: SISD at Proposed Intersection 2

| Location | Vehicle Type | $\begin{gathered} \text { Design Speed } \\ (\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}) \\ (\text { WB / EB) } \end{gathered}$ | Coefficient of Deceleration | Decision <br> Time (s) | Longitudinal Grade (EB I WB) * | Required SISD for EB / WB Traffic (m) | Available <br> SISD <br> $(m)$EB $^{\text {WB }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Intersection } \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | Trucks | 110/110 | 0.28 | $3.0+2.5$ | 0.8\% / 1.4\% | $333 / 330$ | +350 | +350 |
|  | Cars | $110 / 110$ | 0.36 | $3.0+2.5$ | 0.8\% / 1.4\% | 298/295 | +350 | +350 |

*Positive for through traffic travelling uphill and negative for through traffic travelling downhill. Grades are estimated based on the google earth only.

As shown, the SISD is sufficient to achieve the minimum requirements in accordance with the Austroads Guide to Road Design Part 4A. However, there are existing vegetation within the road reserve towards the east direction that with will need to be monitored and trimmed/pruned as required to ensure sight distances and maintained.

The measurement of the SISD is shown in Figure 9. The line-of-sight street view at the intersection location are shown in Figure 10 and Figure 11.


Figure 9: Sight Distance Measurement at Intersection 2


Figure 10: Midlands Road Looking East


Figure 11: Midlands Road Looking West
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### 4.3.1. Approach Sight Distances

The Approach Sight Distance (ASD) is required to ensure that drivers of trucks and light vehicles approaching the intersection from the minor road at the 85th percentile operating speed are able to see the intersection and stop at the holding line.

The ASD is assessed based on the following parameters:

- A reaction time of 2.5 seconds;
- Deceleration coefficients for the purpose of ASD calculations are 0.36 for light vehicles and 0.28 for haulage trucks;
- Driver eye height is 2.4 m for trucks and 1.1 m for cars; and
- Object height of 0.0 m at the holding line.

The required and available ASD at the intersection has been determined from Austroads Part 4A Equation 2 as summarised in Table 9.

Table 9: Approach Sight Distance Assessment

| Location | Vehicle <br> Type | Design <br> Speed <br> $(\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h})$ | Coefficient of <br> Deceleration <br> (unsealed) | Reaction <br> Time $(\mathrm{s})$ | Longitudinal <br> Grade* | Required <br> ASD $(\mathrm{m})$ | Available <br> ASD $(\mathrm{m})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Existing <br> Driveway | Trucks | $40^{* *}$ | 0.28 | 2.5 | 2 | 49 | 56 |
|  | Cars | $40^{* *}$ | 0.36 | 2.5 | 2 | 44 | 56 |

*Positive for traffic travelling uphill and negative for through traffic travelling downhill. Grades are estimated based on google earth only.
** The speed along Strawberry NE Road is assumed as $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ as vehicles have to stop at railway line.
The measurement of ASD is shown in Figure 12 and line of sight from Midlands Road is shown in Figure 13.
As shown, the ASD is sufficient to achieve the minimum requirement as per Austroads Part 4A Equation 2.


Figure 12:Approach Sight Distance Measurement


Figure 13:Approach Line of Sight from Midlands Road

### 4.4. Intersection Volumes

For the purpose of auxiliary lane assessment, the development peak hour is shown in Figure 14.


Figure 14:Intersection AM Peak Hour (2023) Volumes-Construction Phase


Figure 15:Intersection AM Peak Hour (2033) Volumes-Operations Phase

### 4.5. Auxiliary Lanes

The requirement for turning treatments was calculated using the Intersection Warrants calculator provided in Main Roads WA Supplement to Austroads Guide to Road Design - Part 4 A.8. The results of the assessment are shown in Figure 16 and Figure 17.


Figure 16: Construction Phase Warrants for Turn Treatments-AM Peak (2023)


Figure 17: Operations Phase Warrants for Turn Treatments-AM Peak (2033)
As shown, the required left-turn and right turn treatments for the proposed intersection are a Simple Right Turn (SR) and Basic Left Turn (BAL) treatment.

As per MRWA Guideline drawing 202231-0008, a Simple Right turn does not need require any upgrades or sealed shoulders at the proposed intersection (refer Figure 18 for extract).


Figure 18: MRWA Guideline Drawing 202231-0008 Extract - SR/SL
As per MRWA Guideline drawing 202231-0007, a BAL treatment will require widening sealed shoulder turn treatments to be installed at the existing intersection (refer Figure 19 for extract).


Figure 19: MRWA Guideline Drawing 202231-0007 Extract - BAR/BAL

### 4.6. Acceleration Lane

The RAV guideline provides the following advice with regards to acceleration lanes:
To assist in ensuring network performance levels are maintained, the assessor needs to identify if the acceleration lanes and turn pockets are present at intersections and the length of these treatments. Capturing this information in the assessment will assist in determining if network improvements are necessary, in consultation with the road manager.

Note 11 on the MRWA T-Intersection guideline drawing (201431-0001) provides the following advice with regards to acceleration lanes:

Provide 600 m long acceleration lane (or lanes) when the AADT on the through road exceeds 600 with at least 2 road trains per hour on the terminating leg.

Consideration could be given to extending the acceleration lane length to 1500 m (min) and line marking as an overtaking opportunity.

AGRD04 notes that:
There are no simple numerical warrants for the provision of acceleration lanes. However, an auxiliary lane may be added on the departure side of a left turn or right turn iftraffic is unable to join safely and/or efficiently with the adjacent through traffic flow by selecting a gap in the traffic stream.

Acceleration lanes may be provided at major intersections depending on traffic analysis. However, they are usually provided only where:

- insufficient gaps exist for vehicles to enter a traffic stream.
- turning volumes are high (e.g. > 300 vph ).
- the observation angle falls below the requirements of the minimum gap sight distance model (for example, inside of horizontal curves).
- heavy vehicles pulling into the traffic stream would cause excessive slowing of major road vehicles.

The requirement for acceleration lanes has been assessed against the Austroads and Main Roads WA guidelines as detailed in Table 10.

Table 10: Acceleration Lane Warrants - Northbound

| Note | Assessment |
| :---: | :---: |
| MRWA - To assist in ensuring network performance levels are maintained, the assessor needs to identify if the acceleration lanes and turn pockets are present at intersections and the length of these treatments. Capturing this information in the assessment will assist in determining if network improvements are necessary, in consultation with the road manager. | Due to the low volumes of traffic turning into and out of Strawberry NE Road, the level of service of the access is expected to be acceptable. |
| MRWA - Provide 600 m long acceleration lane (or lanes) when the AADT on the through road exceeds 600 with at least 2 road trains ( 36.5 m long) per hour on the terminating leg. | The AADT on the through road (Midlands Road) exceeds 600. <br> Even though peak hour traffic during construction phase is 2 road trains per hour, it is expected that there will be only less than 1 haulage road train per hour during the operations phase on the terminating leg entering Midlands Road during peak hour. In addition, it is expected that construction phase trucks would be empty when entering Midlands Road. <br> Since construction phase will only last for 12 months, and as construction delivery trucks would be empty when entering Midlands Road, the requirements to provide for a 600 m acceleration lane have not been met. <br> NOTE: As this drawing is a guideline only, the requirement of an acceleration lane is to be considered (when considering all other aspects) and is technically not mandatory). |
| Austroads - Acceleration lanes may be provided at major intersections depending on traffic analysis. However, they are usually provided only where: <br> - Insufficient gaps exist for vehicles to enter a traffic stream. | For the 2033/34 scenario the background traffic during AM peak hour in eastbound direction is 50 vehicles per hour which equates to about 0.83 vehicles per minute and in westbound direction is 37 vehicles per hour which equates to about 0.61 vehicles per minute ( 1 vehicle every 41 seconds in either direction). <br> Therefore, it is considered that there are sufficient gaps for trucks to enter a traffic stream. |
| Austroads continued: <br> - Turning volumes are high (e.g. > 300 vph ). | Turning volumes at the intersection during the peak hour is expected to be <300 vph. |
| Austroads continued: <br> - The observation angle falls below the requirements of the minimum gap sight distance model (for example, inside of horizontal curves). | The intersection has good sight distances and observation angle. |
| Austroads continued: <br> - Heavy vehicles pulling into the traffic stream would cause excessive slowing of major road vehicles. | For the 2033/34 scenario the background traffic during AM peak hour in eastbound direction is 50 vehicles per hour which equates to about 0.83 vehicles per minute and in westbound direction is 37 vehicles per hour which equates to about 0.61 vehicles per minute ( 1 vehicle every 41 seconds in either direction), which is considered frequently having gaps for RAV 7 trucks turning out of intersection. <br> Trucks departing from the intersection have good sight distance towards both direction and therefore be able to pull into through traffic without causing excessive slowing. |

Based on the above assessment an acceleration lane is not considered to be warranted by the proposed haulage traffic.

### 4.7. Swept Path Assessment

A swept path analysis on aerial photos for a Tri-Drive 36.5m MRWA RAV 5-7 vehicle template ( 20 m turning radius) was completed to determine if the existing intersection geometry is sufficient to accommodate the proposed RAV vehicle movements.

Refer Figure 20 for swept path analysis.
The analysis indicates that the existing intersection is not wide enough to cater for lane correct RAV7 (20m) vehicles for the left in and left out movements.


Figure 20:Intersection 2 Swept path Analysis

### 4.8. Railway Crossings

### 4.8.1. Railway Approach Sight Distance

As per MRWA's RAV Route Assessment Guidelines, the driver of a RAV approaching a give way or stop signcontrolled rail crossing must be able to see the crossing from a distance conforming to Appendix D of the guidelines. In this situation, the required sight distance is 170 m on approach from Strawberry North-East Road, 46 m on approach from Midlands Road (assuming maximum $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ operating speed and $2 \%$ grade for Strawberry North-East Road and $30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ turning speed from Midlands Road into Intersection 2).

Figure 21 and Figure 22 show the sight lines and street view for the rail crossing. As shown, adequate sight distance is available.


Figure 21:Approach Sight Distance Measurement


Figure 22: Rail Crossing Looking from Midlands Road

### 4.8.2. Railway Sight Distance

The Main Roads WA Standard Restricted Access Vehicle Route Assessment Guidelines (RAV Guidelines) outlines the sight distance requirements for the driver of a RAV, after having stopped at a railway crossing with a Give way or Stop sign. It is outlined in Australian Standard AS1742.7 (2016) - Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Device = Part 7: Railway Crossing formula S3.

The S3 formula determines the minimum distance required for the driver of a vehicle stopped at the railway crossing to be able to see an oncoming train to safely cross. Confirmation of the train speed along the railway has not yet been obtained.

Train speeds have been estimated from the ARC Infrastructure: General Operational Instructions v1.7 website which confirms an empty train speed of $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ for the Mingenew to Strawberry rail line and Strawberry to Irwin rail line (refer Figure 23 for extract).


Figure 23:Rail Speed
Below are the following assumptions to determine S3.

- Railway speed (Vt) (Empty) - $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$
- RAV 7Truck (L) - $36.5 m$ Length
- Driver eye height is 2.4 m for Trucks.
- Sum of the perception time and time to depress clutch $(\mathrm{J})-2.5 \mathrm{~s}$
- Width of Road Carriage way (Wr) -8.0 m
- Width of outer railway track $(W t)-1.3 m$
- Angle between railed track and road $(\mathrm{Z})-90$ degrees
- Clearance from the stop line to the nearest rail $(\mathrm{Cv})-3.5 \mathrm{~m}$
- Clearance from the stop line on the departure side of the crossing $(\mathrm{Ct})-5 \mathrm{~m}$
- Average acceleration of RAV $7-0.29 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$

Based on the sight distance parameters above, Table 11 shows the required minimum sight distance.

Table 11: Railway Sight Distance

| Location | Design Speed Vt | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{J} \\ & (\mathrm{~s}) \end{aligned}$ | Gs | WriNt <br> (m) | Angle | $\underset{(\mathrm{m})}{\mathrm{Cv} / \mathrm{Ct}}$ | Length of design vehicle <br> (RAV 5/6) | Average acceleration of RAV 5/6 m.s-2 | Required SD (m) | Availa | (m) <br> East |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersecti on 2 | 80 | 2.5 | 1 | 9.0/1.3 | 90 | 3.5/5 | 36.5 m | 0.29 | 466m | +470m | 466m |

As shown, the available sight distance exceeds the minimum requirement. However, although the sight distance is assessed as conforming in the east direction, it is recommended that the sight lines are checked on site as sight distances could potentially be restricted by vegetation and/or existing terrain.

Figure 24 shows the available sight distance along the railway line.


Figure 24:Railway Sight Distance measurement

### 4.8.3. Stacking Distance

As per MRWA's RAV Route Assessment Guideline the following stacking distance is required:

- Approach to the rail: at least the length of the vehicle plus 3 m is required between the rail holding line and the through traffic edge line i.e., $36.5 \mathrm{~m}+3 \mathrm{~m}=39.5 \mathrm{~m}$ (based on RAV 7)
- Departure from the rail: at least the length of the vehicle between the rail holding line and the intersection holding line i.e., 36.5 m (based on a RAV 7).


Figure 25: Stacking Distance
As shown in Figure 25 there is more than minimum stacking distance available on the approach side and departure side for the intersection 2.

## 5. Intersection Concept Designs

### 5.1.General

Based on the outcomes of this assessment, a 2 d concept design has been prepared for the recommended intersection upgrade (refer to Appendix B - 2d Concept Design).
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## 6. Conclusions

This Transport Impact Statement has concluded the following:

- The estimated traffic generation can be accommodated within the predicted capacity of road network.
- The additional traffic generated by the proposed development is not considered likely to increase the likelihood of crashes to unacceptable levels.
- Midlands Road and Strawberry NE Road has the appropriate RAV7 network for proposed operation at present to allow the proposed haulage access onto the roads.
- There are sufficient sight distances at the Strawberry NE Road exit onto Midlands Road.
- Based on the predicted traffic volume, the existing Strawberry NE Road/Midlands Road intersection need upgrade to have Simple Right Turn (SR) and Basic Left Turn (BAL) treatment.
- An acceleration lane is not considered warranted towards both direction of Strawberry NE Road/Midlands Road intersection.
- The swept path analysis indicates the existing intersection need to be widened enough to cater for lane correct RAV7 (20m) vehicles for the left in and left out movements; and
- The Strawberry NE Road Railway Crossing has appropriate sight and stacking distances. However, the sight distance is assessed as confirming in the east direction, it is recommended that the sight lines are checked on site as sight distances could potentially be restricted by vegetation and/or existing terrain.


## Appendix A - Traffic Counts
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Appendix B-2d Concept Design
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